

CITY OF WARREN  
PLANNING COMMISSION  
PUBLIC HEARING

Regular Meeting held on February 6<sup>th</sup>, 2023, at 7:00 p.m.,

A Regular Meeting of the Warren Planning Commission was called for Monday, February 6<sup>th</sup>, 2023, at 7:00 p.m., in the Warren Community Center Auditorium, 5460 Arden, Warren, Michigan 48092.

Commissioners Present:

John Kupiec  
Claudette Robinson  
Michelle Tutt  
Mahmuda Mouri, Assistant Secretary  
Warren Smith, Secretary  
Merle Boniecki, Vice Chair

Also present:

Ron Wuerth – Planning Director  
Michelle Katopodes – Planner III  
Mark Gorbett – Assistant Planner  
David Crabtree - Temporary Office Coordinator  
Mary Michaels - Assistant City Attorney  
Patrick Conlin - Communications Department

1. CALL TO ORDER:  
Vice Chair Boniecki - Calls the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:
3. ROLL CALL:  
Secretary Smith – I need a motion to excuse Commissioner Chowdhury and Commissioner Ansar, they are out of town.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Secretary Robinson to excuse Commissioner Chowdhury and Commissioner Ansar, supported by Commissioner Tutt. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

Secretary Smith – And I need a motion to excuse Chair McClanahan, he's out sick.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Tutt to excuse Chair McClanahan, supported by Commissioner Robinson. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

4. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Robinson to approve, supported by Assistant Secretary Mouri. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES JANUARY 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023:

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Robinson to approve, supported by Commissioner Tutt. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

Vice Chair Boniecki – I would like to welcome Ex-Officio Councilman Garry Watts. Also we have six members here tonight so we don't have a full Board so if anybody would like their item agenda tabled please let us know. I also need a motion to allow Vice Chair to Chair the meeting tonight.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Secretary Smith to allow Vice Chair Boniecki to Chair the meeting this evening, supported by Commissioner Tutt. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

6. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS:

- A. SITE PLAN FOR PARKING LOT ADDITION: Located on the northeast corner of Nine Mile and Hoover Roads; 11955 Nine Mile Road; Section 26; Normandy Development, LLC/Ron Patti (Stellantis/Mike Linden). **Tabled from January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023.**

PETITIONERS PORTION:

Ms. Valerie Knol – Valerie Knol with Stellantis. I had sent an email at the end of last week requesting that it be tabled to probably the end of March or April, we are still reviewing the plan.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Secretary Smith to table to an indefinite date, supported by Commissioner Tutt.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

Commissioner Kupiec..... Yes  
 Commissioner Robinson..... Yes  
 Commissioner Tutt..... Yes  
 Assistant Secretary Mouri..... Yes  
 Secretary Smith..... Yes  
 Vice Chair Boniecki..... Yes

7. CORRESPONDENCE:

City of Hazel Park Planning Commission Public Hearing on Tuesday, March 7, 2023, at 6:30 p.m., regarding PC Case #23-01. Application for Special Land Use approval for an automobile repair shop in the M1 zone; 22031 Dequindre Road.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Secretary Smith to receive and file, supported by Commissioner Tutt.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

Commissioner Robinson..... Yes  
 Commissioner Tutt..... Yes  
 Commissioner Kupiec..... Yes  
 Assistant Secretary Mouri..... Yes  
 Secretary Smith..... Yes  
 Vice Chair Boniecki..... Yes

8. OLD BUSINESS:

- A. MINOR AMENDMENT TO SITE PLAN FOR CANOPY ADDITION TO EXISTING DRIVE THROUGH FOR THE BANK: Located on the south side of Twelve Mile Road, approximately 200 ft. east of Dequindre Road; 2000 Twelve Mile Road; Section 18; Public Service Credit Union/Donna Follbaum (G.J. Perelli/Gary Perelli). The Minor Amendment would be for a building addition for a new conference room.

PETITIONERS PORTION:

Mr. Gary Perelli – Gary Perelli with G.J. Perelli Company representing Public Service Credit Union. I'm here to answer any questions you may have.

Secretary Smith reads the following correspondence:

**TAXES:** Current.  
**AT&T:** No Objections.  
**COMCAST:** No Objections.  
**DTE:** No Objections.  
**MCDR:** No Objections.  
**MCPW:** No Objections.

Mr. Ron Wuerth reads the recommendation of the Staff:

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Robinson to approve, supported by Commissioner Kupiec.

COMMISSIONERS PORTION:

Secretary Smith – I noticed on their findings on the one page you have a cash bond that they are retaining for work that wasn't completed before of \$3600.00 dollars, is that in addition to this bond?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – I'm sorry I didn't hear what you said at the end?

Secretary Smith – Is that separate from the bond for this?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – Separate, yes.

Secretary Smith – But it's still a bond for this particular parcel?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – If it's indicated that it hasn't been released yet yes it's still a bond for that parcel. I'll have to talk to the petitioner about that later and how that's going to work out.

Secretary Smith – Alright thank you. Good evening, this was the old Huntington Bank and they are turning it into a Credit Union?

Mr. Gary Perelli – Correct.

Secretary Smith – I know I read something about some virtual room in the front and then a conference room up above?

Mr. Gary Perelli – Yes, there's just a computer that people can go in and go online and do loans and things like that. There will be a

conference room in the new front area that we are adding onto the building.

Secretary Smith – What was the purpose of making it a tower, making it higher?

Mr. Gary Perelli – That's part of a prototype. They've done these renovations in Oak Park and in Trenton and done the same concept. They put the tower on the front of the building it's just their look.

Secretary Smith – Thank you sir.

Mr. Gary Perelli – Do you mind me asking, the \$3600.00 dollars is that something that is Huntington Bank's responsibility prior because I hadn't heard about that before, I just want to make sure we take care of it.

Secretary Smith – I'm not sure exactly, it was just in our paperwork you can probably talk to Mr. Wuerth about that.

Mr. Gary Perelli – Okay, thank you.

Commissioner Kupiec – What exactly are you going to use that room for?

Mr. Gary Perelli – It's a conference room, so they'll do closings and things like that. If they have clients come in they will meet the clients in that conference room.

Commissioner Kupiec – So it will no longer be a bank?

Mr. Gary Perelli – No it's bank it's just part of the bank they are just adding onto the front. More of it is the concept of the tower in the front of the building just to keep their look of how their doing their branches. They've taken two other existing facilities and added this to the front to give it a new look.

Commissioner Kupiec – So the building that you're putting up there is a separate part of the building?

Mr. Gary Perelli – It's attached to the front of the building, so it just comes out of the front of the building like 10 feet it's about 15 feet wide.

Commissioner Kupiec – And Huntington Bank will continue their operation with the existing building?

Mr. Gary Perelli – Huntington is gone, Public Service has purchased the building. It will still be a credit union, yes, with the teller line and all the other facilities associated with a credit union.

Commissioner Kupiec – What's the name of your company going to be?

Mr. Gary Perelli – We are G.J. Perelli Company we are the company doing the renovation work and design work.

Commissioner Kupiec – And once the business opens up what will the name of the business be?

Mr. Gary Perelli – Public Service Credit Union.

Commissioner Kupiec – Okay, thank you.

Commissioner Robinson – Since you are pretty much neighbors to Buggy, which is right across the parking lot, is there going to be some signage for the bar parking people verses the bank. I know that everybody has been parking over there since Huntington Bank left?

Mr. Gary Perelli – We haven't discussed that, if there's an issue we'll have to sit down with the neighbor and work something out. I think the restaurant hours are after hours to the bank so hopefully it works for them.

Commissioner Robinson – During the day to, I pass by there a lot they have a robust business over there.

Mr. Gary Perelli – Yes, I hope it works out.

Commissioner Kupiec – Did you receive a copy of the recommendations from the Planning Department?

Mr. Gary Perelli – Yes, I did.

Commissioner Kupiec – Are you familiar with all the recommendations and in compliance with them?

Mr. Gary Perelli – Yes sir.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

Commissioner Kupiec.....	Yes
Commissioner Robinson.....	Yes
Commissioner Tutt.....	Yes
Assistant Secretary Mouri.....	Yes
Secretary Smith.....	Yes
Vice Chair Boniecki.....	Yes

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Robinson to recognize as a minor amendment, supported by Commissioner Kupiec.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

Commissioner Kupiec.....	Yes
Commissioner Robinson.....	Yes
Commissioner Tutt.....	Yes
Assistant Secretary Mouri.....	Yes
Secretary Smith.....	Yes
Vice Chair Boniecki.....	Yes

- B. MINOR AMENDMENT TO SITE PLAN FOR ROYALTY HOUSE BANQUET HALL: Located on the north side of Old Thirteen Mile Road; approximately 712.48 ft. east of Van Dyke; 8201 Old Thirteen Mile Road; Section 03; Larsa LLC/Faris Hermez (Dream House Estates/Zack Hanna). The Minor Amendment is for a building addition and other exterior improvements to the building.

PETITIONERS PORTION:

Mr. Zack Hanna – I’m Zack Hanna with Dream Home. My client is doing a new frontage texturing like stucco on the front and adding storage area to the side which is about 500 square feet.

Secretary Smith reads the following correspondence:

**TAXES:** Current.  
**AT&T:** No Objections.  
**MCDR:** No Objections.

**MCPW:** Please be advised that this letter is for comment on right of way and drain easements only. It appears there will be no work within the Bear Creek Drain easement, therefore this office would have no objection to the proposed building addition and canopy reconstruction. Prior to site plan approval, any project that impacts a County Drain shall apply the Macomb County Public Works office design standards. Please note that a formal plan review and approval from this office will be required prior to construction.

Mr. Ron Wuerth reads the recommendation of the Staff:

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Tutt to recognize as a minor amendment, supported by Commissioner Robinson.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

- Commissioner Kupiec..... Yes
- Commissioner Robinson..... Yes
- Commissioner Tutt..... Yes
- Assistant Secretary Mouri..... Yes
- Assistant Secretary Smith..... Yes
- Vice Chair Boniecki..... Yes

MOTION:

A motion was made by Assistant Secretary Mouri to approve, supported by Commissioner Tutt.

COMMISSIONERS PORTION:

Assistant Secretary Mouri – I was going to ask for a cash bond for \$5200.00 since the amount is too low for that to be included as the cash bond.

Commissioner Kupiec – Did you receive a copy of the recommendations?

Mr. Zack Hanna – I just received it today. I called the City in the morning to ask about this and they said we sent it Friday afternoon. I told them I hadn't received it and they emailed it to me this morning. I looked at it and I have some concerns I want to share.

Commissioner Kupiec – So you haven't had a chance to go over it and talk to the Planning Department about them?

Mr. Zack Hanna – No, I haven't talked to them after I received the recommendation.

Commissioner Kupiec – Do you need some time?

Mr. Zack Hanna – No, I can provide now my reservations on the letter. We don't have an issue with adding--

Commissioner Kupiec – Hang on I'm not finished yet, so you think you can continue on tonight with questions that you have and hopefully come up with some answers. I noticed over the past year or so driving on the cutoff going from Chicago to Van Dyke that on the south side of the building there appeared to be some work going on the roof, on the peak of the roof, you can see right into the building from the outside, is the building currently being worked on?

Mr. Zack Hanna – Yes, we have an approved building permit from the City of Warren.

Commissioner Kupiec – Okay so you have approval for the work that's going on on the south side?

Mr. Zack Hanna – Yes.

Commissioner Kupiec – Alright I might have missed that meeting and I didn't see anything in the findings. So this is an addition to what your currently doing?

Mr. Zack Hanna – Yes.

Commissioner Kupiec – I'm going to suggest to the maker of the motion that this be a cash bond.

Secretary Smith – Good evening, I was a little surprised when I drove by there today and saw how much work had already been done. The front looks really nice you've got a lot of steel and you got some of the brick work up. I saw the addition for the storage on the side, I was surprised that much work has been done when it hadn't come before us in the first place. The other thing is in the little islands in the front it's supposed to be grass area, I don't know what they tried to do but it looks like it has some of that green grass carpet from Lowes and put it in there so it looks like grass, it looks

like some carpet was laid in there. I know those landscaped islands have to have actual grass or whatever you're going to plant in there.

Mr. Zach Hanna – What you saw is the location of the footing for the canopy and we can put a cover on it to prevent anyone from falling or tripping and once the canopy is ready we will install it, which will be in the next month or so. We are not going to put landscaping at this area but we are proposing to put landscaping near the front fence and my client is willing to spend money on the landscaping without impacting the parking lots. This is an existing building he bought to try and bring new business to the city after it was abandoned for a few years. He's not willing to reduce the parking spots because this is an existing situation.

Secretary Smith – We are not asking to reduce the parking spots, there's plenty of parking you've got more than enough spaces. What the Planning Director was suggesting is because you have a lot of extra spaces you might want to make some landscaping islands in the parking lot to take up some of the spaces, so you have more open space.

Mr. Zach Hanna – We can put the landscaping somewhere else, but we are not going to reduce the parking spaces. It's an existing situation, it's better to have more parking spaces, reducing doesn't make any sense. Now adding landscaping, we are fine with that, we are willing to spend money to add landscaping near the front fence or other areas but not reducing the parking spots.

Secretary Smith – Did you understand about the cash bond?

Mr. Zach Hanna – Yea the bond is fine, we don't want to touch the parking spots.

Secretary Smith – Thank you.

Assistant Secretary Mouri – I believe what Commissioner Smith was mentioning is about the parking spaces, so now when we are approving it we are approving it with the conditions that the petitioner is going to follow it through with what's written. The petitioner is not following through, he's saying right now he's not reducing the parking spaces. Should we table this and have him meet with our Director and then come to a conclusion.

Vice Chair Boniecki – The way I'm understanding it is, it's saying that the length should be instead of 18 feet it should be 20 feet and they should have a 22 feet maneuvering lane. Not reducing them just making the spaces a little bit longer to put a car or truck in there, am I reading that right?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – I'll try to answer that. With the parking lot they have been working over there for a while on various parts of the site, the fencing was one of them they did a nice job on that. Then they went to the parking lot, they fixed it up where it needed to be, resurfaced throughout the whole thing and then they laid it out, only they laid it out incorrectly with an 18 foot long parking space that's why they need a variance for that. As far as the maneuvering lanes between the parking spaces once that drawing is scaled properly like it should have been initially then we can find out what the width is of those maneuvering lanes, they look wide enough but looks don't tell the tale.

Vice Chair Boniecki – So are they asking for a variance between the 18 foot in length to the 20 foot in length?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – Yes they are, well they aren't asking we are saying that's what they need if they use this plan as is. If they don't do that then that means they are going to change the parking out and get the proper length on the parking spaces, maneuvering lane and that type of thing. Dimension everything so that they're sure of what they are supposed to be doing. To my knowledge they never asked the Planning Staff anything about size or shape of those parking spaces. All of that is in the zoning ordinance that's where they should have been looking. Whoever drew the plan should have known that, I don't know what happened.

Vice Chair Boniecki – We could approve this tonight and they would have to go to the Zoning Board in order to get the variance?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – They'd have to go to the Zoning Board of Appeals to get a variance to keep what they have out there. If they don't get that then they are left with redoing the whole parking lot, they'd have to paint it again with black covering I would say and relay it out again. They need to check with the city on things like that if they don't know how to do it. I will say this, other communities have less length in their parking spaces, not all, but many have 18 feet.

Vice Chair Boniecki – Okay so is it the way it's drafted up or do they actually have to go to the Zoning Board?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – They have a choice one or the other, so let's just say they are going to go with the variance then they are going to give us that plan with all the revisions on it, but before we let it go to the Building Division for approval for them to get permits, I don't know what permits you got, I have no idea what you got and I don't know how you got them.

Mr. Zach Hanna – We submitted for them.

Mr. Ron Wuerth – I know but I don't know why in the world they gave you permits; they should not have.

Mr. Zach Hanna – After that they said you guys need to apply for a minor amendment.

Mr. Ron Wuerth – That's not how the system goes, you're supposed to get an approval first before you do that.

Mr. Zach Hanna – We submitted for the permit, and we got it. Nobody told us to apply for a zoning or to change our planning until after the fact.

Mr. Ron Wuerth – Thanks, you just told me what I wanted to hear.

Vice Chair Boniecki – I think in light of this we should ask to table this so they can have time to get the proper variances they need from the Zoning Board or accept the Planning Staff's recommendations.

Commissioner Kupiec – I think in view of the fact that the petitioner said to me that he just received the recommendations today and he didn't have a chance to go over them with the Planning Department yet, so I'll make the motion to table.

Mr. Ron Wuerth – If you want to table it to the 27<sup>th</sup> I think we can have them come back to the next meeting.

Commissioner Kupiec – We don't want him to do the work and then have to do it over, if he doesn't understand then he needs to come in and talk to you right?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – I don't know what you said, I'm sorry.

Commissioner Kupiec – Well you said that some of the work he's done right now has to be redone to get the parking like it is.

Mr. Zach Hanna – I think he's saying what's done now has to be redone to get the parking lot to code.

Mr. Ron Wuerth – That would be the appropriate thing to do obviously to get it done correctly, as opposed to going to the Zoning Board of Appeals and get a variance for a mistake. If you table it to the 27<sup>th</sup> we'll have a meeting as soon as we can and try to get this straightened out.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Assistant Secretary Mouri to table until February 27, 2023, supported by Commissioner Kupiec.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

- Commissioner Kupiec..... Yes
- Commissioner Robinson..... Yes
- Commissioner Tutt..... Yes
- Assistant Secretary Mouri..... Yes
- Secretary Smith ..... Yes
- Vice Chair Boniecki..... Yes

- C. SITE PLAN FOR VIETNAMESE SOCIAL CLUB: Located on the northwest corner of Thirteen Mile and Mound Roads; 5649 and 5651 Thirteen Mile; Section 5; Nicholas Shango (Ziad El Baba). **Approved on January 7, 2019. Extension approved to June 13, 2022. Never Finished. Expired.**

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Robinson to recognize as expired site plan, supported by Commissioner Tutt.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

- Commissioner Kupiec..... Yes
- Commissioner Robinson..... Yes
- Commissioner Tutt..... Yes

Assistant Secretary Mouri..... Yes  
 Secretary Smith..... Yes  
 Vice Chair Boniecki..... Yes

- D. SITE PLAN FOR TEMPORARY SEASONAL OUTDOOR RETAIL SALES FOR FLOWERS AND FIREWORKS: Located on the northwest corner of Thirteen Mile and Mound Roads; 5821 Thirteen Mile; Section 5; Matt Shango (Mike Kanakry). **Approved on March 28, 2022. Petitioner submitted new site plan, approved by the Planning Commission on January 23, 2023. Request to withdraw original site plan.**

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Tutt to withdraw site plan, supported by Commissioner Robinson.

ROLL CALL:

The motion carried as follows:

Commissioner Kupiec..... Yes  
 Commissioner Robinson..... Yes  
 Commissioner Tutt..... Yes  
 Assistant Secretary Mouri..... Yes  
 Secretary Smith..... Yes  
 Vice Chair Boniecki..... Yes

9. BOND RELEASE:  
 None at this time.

10. NEW BUSINESS:  
 None at this time.

11. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION:  
 Mr. Eddie Kabacinski – Good evening Planning Commission, it's nice to not have cold weather or snow coming to the Planning Commission Meeting. I know the item was tabled but I went to the Police Department with Commissioner Dwyer and Commissioner McAdams to provide you the information on the item that was having to do with Stellantis. Sorry about the fire department one it came in kind of late, Commissioner McAdams is a busy man, so you should have that pack of material having to do with Normandy and Stellantis if you don't, I will provide photocopies to members that need those reports. Thank you.

Ms. Lori Harris – I have a request of the Planning Department, I believe our city does a really bad job of notifying people of general things. So I would like to ask the Planning Commission to work with the city and find a way to notify citizens when something is tabled. There was a citizen who came here tonight who was ill and didn't know until she got here that the item was tabled, the public hearing.

12. PLANNING COMMISSION BUSINESS:

A) Planning Director's Report:

Mr. Ron Wuerth – We can start with January 24<sup>th</sup>. There was a second major amendment to modify the use, and this is the PUD over on Ten Mile, from a medical office building to a behavioral center so that was approved. On the 25<sup>th</sup> we met with an architect and talked about a 12,000 square foot building addition at a place on Thomas Drive, 25175 Thomas Drive. On the 26<sup>th</sup> we had a virtual meeting that was Innovate Mound Project, we listened to them talk about the landscaping in the median between Fourteen and Eleven Mile to see what kind of landscaping they were going to place in that median, Michelle attended that also.

On January 27<sup>th</sup>, there was some discussion about the shopping center at Ryan and Eleven Mile Road and that is the south and east corner on the south side of the expressway. They are looking to make a change of the rezoning so that they can go in with a certain business. Beyond that it was all findings the following week I was on vacation all last week. So with that that's my report.

B) Planning Commission Discussion and Concerns:

Discussion of bonds for site plan applications.

Mr. Ron Wuerth – This was prompted by discussion I had with Commissioner Kupiec about cash bonds and how we handle them and how high should you go, how low should you go for bonds. We probably had this discussion about a year and a half ago about bonds but I don't think the Planning Commission ever officially said for anything that comes before the Planning Commission no matter how small it is it should be a \$1000.00 dollars, not \$500, not \$400, it will be \$1000 and it will be cash.

The Planning Commission at that time said that would be a good idea so that's what we should strive for. It would be nice if the

Planning Commission would agree with that, or if it doesn't sound right to you then maybe we could have another discussion about different layers of bonds. I really haven't thought much about it other than speaking to Commissioner Kupiec about it and the \$1000 dollar bond for the smaller additions that we come across.

Commissioner Kupiec – What happened is lately we've been having some bonds come through for \$400.00, \$500.00, \$850.00, and we've been approving them as bonds. I've been asking for cash bonds, and it wasn't really brought to my attention until the last meeting that it had been set at some point that the minimum bond would be \$1000.00 dollars any above that would need approval, any below that would be automatic. Well I think \$1000.00 dollars is even low I think if it is market place with the kinds of buildings we are experiencing \$1250.00 or \$1500.00, even \$1000.00 we just need to have a fixed figure. Where automatically when someone comes in they'll write up a plan and there will be no question about it it's got to be paid and be part of the approval without us having to discuss it.

As far as the other bonds I get a little concerned where I see we are passing some questionable things while discussion goes on and we approve it. The purpose of the bond is to put some pressure on the persons that are doing the work and provide money to finance the work and get the job done within a time frame that is allow, which is two years. In some cases like tonight we've got a bond expired because no one did nothing about it. We need to make a decision if the bond is there and there's some questions going on about the operation of the site plan, I don't see any problems whether it's \$25,000.00 or \$50,000.00 we've even had some bonds released for \$100,000.00 back in the day.

I'd like to see us be a little more consistent and ask for some cash bond approvals when they come up give them some serious thought and then approve them. There's nothing wrong with that, a client is coming to us with the idea he wants to improve the City of Warren we are here to consistently get that done and the bond is our assurance it gets done. If everybody agrees with \$1000.00, I'm in agreement with it and if you'd like to up to \$1250.00 or \$1500.00 that's okay with me too. We need to know at least a minimum which I didn't know, which I know now, is \$1000.00 dollars so automatically that's approved as a cash bond when he's requesting the site plan. The other bonds that come up I think we need to give them some serious thought of approving them at a higher rate and put some pressure on the people that go out and get the work done.

Vice Chair Boniecki – Mr. Wuerth if we ask for a motion and for approval is \$1000.00 dollars minimum adequate or should we raise it?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – We'll do the calculations if anything is \$1000.00 dollars or less then it will be a \$1000.00 dollars. Whether it works out to be \$200.00 dollars or \$300.00 dollars it will be \$1000.00 dollars instead of playing around with a small amount.

Vice Chair Boniecki – Commissioner Kupiec had mentioned \$1250.00 to \$1500.00 that's why I'm asking, is a \$1000.00 still good for the minimum cash bond?

Mr. Ron Wuerth – I think \$1000.00 dollars is adequate at this point and time, let's go with that officially and see how that works out.

Commissioner Kupiec – Sounds good to me, thank you.

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Kupiec to approve a \$1000.00 minimum cash bond for \$1000.00 dollars or less, supported by Secretary Smith. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

13. CALENDAR OF PENDING MATTERS:

None at this time.

14. ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION:

A motion was made by Commissioner Robinson to adjourn, supported by Commissioner Tutt. A voice vote was taken and the motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 7:59 p.m.

---

Merle Boniecki, Vice Chair

---

Warren Smith, Secretary

Meeting recorded and transcribed by  
Mary Clark - CER-6819

**E-mail:** [maryclark130@gmail.com](mailto:maryclark130@gmail.com)

Approved